



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/830,417	04/27/2001	Kazuhiko Katahira	0152-0559P	7817	
2292	7590 01/26/2	06	EXAMINER		
	EWART KOLASC	NGUYEN, H	NGUYEN, HUY THANH		
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHU	л ЛСН, VA 22040-0	747	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2616	· ·	

DATE MAILED: 01/26/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary			117	KATAHIRA ET AL.					
			or	Art Unit					
·		HUY T. 1		2616					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL asions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF T 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e cation. ory period will apply and v , by statute, cause the ap	HIS COMMUNICATION vent, however, may a reply be timwill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
	Responsive to communication(s) filed of This action is FINAL . 2b) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice	⊠ This action is allowance excep	non-final. t for formal matters, pro		merits is				
Dispositi	on of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicati 9)□ 10)□	Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) 8-34 is/are wi Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction on Papers The specification is objected to by the EThe drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	ithdrawn from contact and/or election and/or election accepted or but to the drawing(s) accepted is required.	requirement.)□ objected to by the E be held in abeyance. See red if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF					
	The oath or declaration is objected to by	y tne Examiner. N	ote the attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔯 Inform	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTC No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te	-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of claims 1-7 in the reply filed on 11
 January 2006 is acknowledged.

Claims 8-34 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected inventions, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 11 January 2006.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

3. Claims 1 and 3 –4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nagasawa (JP407201130) in view of Tanaka (4,982,390).

Regarding claim 1, Nagasawa disclose a continuous recording system (See English translation), comprising:

a tape drive (23);

a fixed disk unit (19) connected to said tape drive; and a controller connected to said tape drive and said fixed disk unit, wherein said controller controls operation such that data is inputted to said tape drive an is recorded on a tape of said tape drive;

an input of said data is changed, when said tape is replaced with a new tape, from said optical disk to said fixed disk unit and the data is recorded on a fixed disk of said fixed disk unit; and the data recorded on said fixed disk is transferred, after said tape is replaced, to said new tape, thereby continuously recording the data.

Nagasawa fails to teach the use of optical disk for storing the recording data.

Tanaka teaches an apparatus using an optical disc drive for recording data on an optical disc as an alternative to a tape memory (column 3, lines 45-57, column 7, lines 35-50).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill I the art to modify Nagasawa with Tanaka by using an optical disc drive as an alternative to the tape drive of Nagasawa for recording the data.

Method claim 3 corresponds to apparatus claim 1. Therefore, method claim 3 is rejected by the same reason as applied to apparatus claim 1.

Further for claim 3, Nagasawa as modified with Tanaka further teaches switching data path from fixed disc to the optical drive (See Nagasawa (Fig. 1, Tanaka Fig. 1 column 7, lines 35-55).

Page 4

Method claim 4 corresponds to apparatus claim 1 therefore method claim 4 is rejected by the same reason as applied to apparatus claim 1. Further for claim 4, Nagasawa as modified with Tanaka further teaches changing the optical disc before a available memory capacity of the optical disc is used up since the user can determine the remaining capacity of the optical disc based on time of recording data and control switching data path from fixed disc and optical disc *See Nagasawa Fig. 1 Tanaka Fig. 1, column 7, lines 35-55).

4. Claims 2 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nagasawa (JP407201130) in view of Tanaka (4,982,390) as applied to claims 1,3-4 above further in view of Nishimura (EP 0698881).

Regarding claims 2 and 5, Nagasawa as modified with Tanaka fails to teach using an magneto optical of phase transition for storing the data. However, it is noted that using an magneto optical disc of phase transition for storing data is well known in the art as taught by Nishimura. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Nagasawa as modified with Tanaka by using a magneto optical disc as an alternative to the optical disc of Nagasawa as modified with Tanaka for storing the data.

Page 5

5. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nagasawa (JP407201130) in view of Tanaka (4,982,390) in view of Ono et al (6314137).

Regarding claim 6, Nagasawa discloses a system having a recorder and a camera, the recorder comprising

a tape drive (23);

a fixed disk unit (19) connected to said tape drive; and a controller connected to said tape drive and said fixed disk unit, wherein said controller controls operation such that data is inputted to said tape drive an is recorded on a tape of said tape drive;

an input of said data is changed, when said tape is replaced with a new tape, from said optical disk to said fixed disk unit and the data is recorded on a fixed disk of said fixed disk unit; and the data recorded on said fixed disk is transferred, after said tape is replaced, to said new tape, thereby continuously recording the data.

Nagasawa fails to teach the use of optical disk for storing the recording data.

Tanaka teaches an apparatus using an optical disc drive for recording data on an optical disc as an alternative to a tape memory (column 3, lines 45-57, column 7, lines 35-50).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill I the art to modify Nagasawa with Tanaka by using a optical disc drive as an alternative to the tape drive of Nagasawa for recording the data.

Nagasawa as modified with Tanaka further teaches using compressing/ decompressing means and encoding/ decoding means fro processing the data to be recorded on the optical disc and reproduced fro the optical disc. See Nagasawa but fails to teach that the recorder can be used with a surveillance system.

Ono teaches a surveillance system using a camera with recorder having compressing / decompressing means and encoding/ decoding means for compressing/ decompressing, encoding/ decoding the data (Fig. 1, column 1, lines 9-12).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Nagasawa with Ono by using the recorder with a surveillance system thereby enhancing the capacity of the recorder of Nagasawa.

6. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nagasawa (JP407201130) in view of Tanaka (4,982,390) and Ono as applied to claim 6 above, further in view of Nishimura (EP 0698881).

Regarding claim 7, Nagasawa as modified with Tanaka fails to teach using a magneto optical of phase transition for storing the data. However, it is noted that using an magneto optical disc of a phase transition for storing data is well known in the art as taught by Nishimura (page 5, lines 27-36). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Nagasawa as modified with Tanaka by using a magneto optical disc as taught by Nishimura as an alternative to the optical disc of Nagasawa as modified with Tanaka for storing the data.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HUY T. NGUYEN whose telephone number is (571) 272-7378. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM -6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Groody can be reached on (571) 272-7950. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

H.N